Saving our water supply through prescribed burning



Saving our (insert value) through prescribed burning



Water Services – Melbourne Water

 Responsible for the supply of affordable, high quality water

Melbourne Water – Primary Objectives

- To safeguard the quality and quantity of the water supply for the greater area of Melbourne.
- Supply affordable water

Melbourne Water – How they do it

- Manage Melbourne's water supply catchments 162,000 ha (1600 sq. km)
- 1,825,000 ML storage (currently about 87% full)
- 450,000 ML /year supplied to 5 million consumers via three Government retail water companies
- Water from protected catchments comprises up to 80% of the annual supply
- Bulk of supply is unfiltered and disinfected (fluoride and chlorine)
- Restricted access to catchments

Water Supply – Primary Risks

- Bushfire is the greatest risk to water system
- Pathogens from humans and animals

Dams and Catchments



Bushfire Context – Fuel



Bushfire Context – Fuel



Bushfire Context - Fuel

Statement of Hazardous Nature SUSMP Classification: S5 ADG Classification: Class 3: Flammable liquids. UN Number: 1993, FLAMMABLE LIQUID, N.O.S.



GHS Signal word: WARNING Flammable liquids Category 3

Bushfire Context – Ignition



MARYSVILLE ffdi_maximum- HIGHEST 50 DAYS 1975 - 2020



- In Australia, between 1901 and 2011 there have been 825 civilian and firefighter fatalities caused by bushfires.
- Of these fatalities, 92 have been firefighters and 733 civilians.
- Victoria accounts for 61.3 percent of all fatalities (474 civilian, 32 firefighters), which is nearly four times more than the next nearest State (NSW with 99 civilian and 40 firefighters).
- Of the ten major fire days in Australia involving fatalities, eight occurred in Victoria























Melbourne Water – Contingency Plans

- Melbourne Water invest heavily in bushfire prevention and preparedness
- Contingency and redundancy plans exist for a range of scenarios
- Location and scale of disturbance is important
- Some worst-case scenarios can't be excluded and consequences would be significant

Melbourne Water – Scenario

- Upper Yarra and Thomson catchment burnt by high severity bushfire
- Subsequent rainfall



What if?





Bushfire Consequences

	Area	Unburnt	Low	Medium	High	Extreme
	(ha)	%	%	%	%	%
Walwa bushfire 2019/20	177085	0	25	13	46	16
Actual planned burns 2022/23	6049	42	51	3	1	1

Bushfire Consequences

- Water quality Debris flow 0-2 years
- Water yield regrowth forest > 100 years











Water Quality – Cost

- Water treatment plant at Silvan \$3.5 billion to build
- 10 15 year build
- Large footprint
- \$50 million/year to operate

Water Quantity



Water Quantity – Cost



Bushfire Consequences

- Restrictions for extended periods
- Pay for contingency solutions (eg full treatment)
- Frequent fire stand change scenario different species can change water quality and quantity

Tallarook – Mt Hickey Case Study

- Tallarook State forest located north of Melbourne between Seymour and Broadford
- Around 5,100 ha of mixed species eucalypt forest
- 6 October 2015 unseasonally hot, dry and windy (Lancefield)
- Fire started at 14.00 hrs
- ➢ Temp: 35° C
- ≻ RH: 8 %
- > Wind: NW 55 (av) 81 (gust) km/hr
- ≻ DI: 88
- > FFDI: 114 (catastrophic) at 14.00 hrs

Case Study



Case Study



Tallarook – Mt Hickey Case Study

- The final fire size was 557 hectares and the fire was contained solely within the Tallarook State forest. No properties were affected by the fire.
- No planned burn results in an area of approximately 7,500 hectares. Around 65 properties impacted.
- Planned burn results in 92.5% reduction in size under catastrophic conditions.

Beechworth – Mudgegonga Case Study

- Library Road is located around 3 km south of Beechworth
- Mixed species eucalypt forest
- 7 February 2009 (Black Saturday)
- Fire started at 18.00 hrs
- Temp: 45.5° C at 15.00 (Wangaratta)
- **>**RH: 6 % at 15.00 (Wangaratta)
- ➢Wind: NNW 35 (av) 57 (gust) km/hr at 14.00 (Wangaratta)
- **>**FFDI: 126 (catastrophic) at 13.30 hrs (Wangaratta)

Beechworth – Mudgegonga Case Study

Phase 1 (18.00 to 21.30)

- Flame height 10 m and spotting 500 m
- 8 km total (2 km/hr)
- In fuel reduced areas (2003 bushfire, 2005 and 2008 planned burns)

Phase 2 (21.30 to 03.00)

- Crown fire and spotting 9 km
- 24 km total (5 km/hr)
- In non-fuel reduced areas

Phase 3 (03.00 onwards)

South-west wind change

Case Study



Case Study



Beechworth – Mudgegonga Case Study

- In the absence of planned burns fire run would have been at least 12 km longer (32 km v 44 km) – 28% reduction
- Phoenix modelling suggests 56 km 43% reduction

Beechworth – Mudgegonga Case Study

- Marysville, Narbethong, Buxton 40 killed, >500 houses.
 Population (2006) 1,190
- Beechworth-Mudgegonga 2 killed, 38 houses
- Bright, Porepunkah and Wandiligong population (2011) 3,415
- Pro –rata impacts 115 killed, 1435 houses

Conclusion

- Planned burning works under even the most extreme conditions
- You don't have the option of a 'no bushfire' regime.
- A well planned and consistently delivered planned burning program must be a key element of a future risk management framework to help ensure a sustainable supply of affordable water for Melbourne.